

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 23313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,648	01/05/2001	Bodo Furchheim	7054-101XX	1304
	7590 06/03/200 ASSOCIATES	9	EXAMINER	
555 WEST FIF		DIAZ, THOMAS C		
31ST FLOOR LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90013		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brie	1

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/674,648	FURCHHEIM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
THOMAS DIAZ	3656	

	THOMAS DIAZ	3656						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 20 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
1. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) \(\text{The period for reply expires \(\frac{\text{\$\end{the mailing date}}{} \) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.) See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	in.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhaunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed on	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better. 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: The amendments to independent claim 1 c "different functional elements" or the clause "by ext faces, drive and/or control elements and internal at the claim has changed since at least some of the s different invention being claimed. (See 37 CFR 1.1	change the scope of the claim since pansion or tapedra the original diam al/or external screw threads". By re tructure previously recited has been 116 and 41.33(a)).	now the claim no lon meter of the tube poss emoving this language n removed and there	sesses bearing the scope of is now a					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	*	•					
7. \(\subseteq \text{For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\text{I}\) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-5.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence filed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail: e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n or the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ea.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application No.

/Richard WL Ridley/ /Thomas Diaz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3656 Examiner, Art Unit 3656

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090601